Case4:13-cv-03133-SBA Document52 Filed02/28/14 Page1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5	SEAN CUNNINGHAM (Bar No. 174931) sean.cunningham@dlapiper.com KATHRYN RILEY GRASSO (Bar No. 2111 kathryn.riley@dlapiper.com RYAN W. COBB (Bar No. 277608) ryan.cobb@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101-4297 Tel: (619) 699-2700	187)
6 7 8 9	Fax: (619) 699-2701 SUMMER KRAUSE (Bar No. 264858) summer.krause@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215 Tel: 650.833.2000 Fax: 650.833.2001	
11 12 13 14	TODD S. PATTERSON (pro hac vice) Texas Bar No. 24060396 DLA PIPER LLP (US) 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500 Austin, TX 78701-3799 Telephone: 512-457-7000 Facsimile: 512-457-7001	
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaiman FIREEYE, INC.	t
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	OAKLAND DIVISION	
20	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	CASE NO. 13-CV-03133 (SBA)
2122	Plaintiff, v.	NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS AFFECTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR STAY PENDING REEXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS
232425	FIREEYE, INC., a Delaware Corporation, Defendant.	Dept.: Courtroom 1 - 4th Floor Judge: Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong
262728	WEST 24640274 1	
US)	WEST\246492374.1	NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

Case4:13-cv-03133-SBA Document52 Filed02/28/14 Page2 of 2

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(d), Defendant FireEye, Inc. ("FireEye") brings to the Court's	
2	attention the administrative decisions recently issued by the United States Patent & Trademark	
3	Office ("Patent Office") regarding the <i>ex parte</i> reexaminations of United States Patent Nos.	
4	7,058,822 ("the '822 Patent"), 7,647,633 ("the '633 Patent") and 8,079,086 ("the '086 Patent"),	
5	which are related to FireEye's motion to stay this case pending reexamination. (Dkt. No. 28.)	
6	On November 19, 2013, the Patent Office granted FireEye's request for ex parte	
7	reexamination of claims 1-7 and 28-33 of the '633 Patent and rejected those claims. A copy of	
8	the Patent Office's decision is attached as Exhibit A.	
9	On December 5, 2013, the Patent Office granted FireEye's request for ex parte	
10	reexamination of claims 1-8 and 16-27 of the '822 Patent. A copy of the Patent Office's decision	
11	is attached as Exhibit B.	
12	On November 19, 2013, the USPTO denied FireEye's request for ex parte reexamination	
13	of claims 1-8, 17-23, 31-32, 35-36, 39 and 41 of the '086 Patent. On February 7, 2014, FireEye	
14	filed a second request for <i>ex parte</i> reexamination of the '086 Patent. FireEye's February 7, 2014	
15	Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of the '086 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.	
16	On January 14, 2014, Finjan acquiesced to having the Patent Office resolve issues	
17	between FireEye and Finjan when it filed a request for <i>Inter Partes</i> review of FireEye's United	
18	States Patent No. 8,291,499 ("the '499 Patent"). On January 30, 2014, Finjan filed a corrected	
19	petition remedying errors in the original request, and on February 1, 2014, the Patent Office	
20	accepted the petition styled as IPR2014-00344. The '499 Patent has not been asserted in this	
21	litigation and FireEye has not threatened to assert the '499 Patent against Finjan.	
22	Dated: February 28, 2014 DLA PIPER LLP (US)	
23	By /s/ Sean Cunningham	
24	SEAN CUNNINGHAM KATHRYN RILEY GRASSO	
25	TODD PATTERSON RYAN W. COBB	
26	SUMMER KRAUSE Attorneys for Defendant	
27	FIREEYE, INC.	
28	WEST\246492374.1 2	
6)	WEST\246492374.1 2	